

int the taste of this governor of the feast was as
praised, as visited as that of a modern wine
ringer, who prefers a racy, alcoholic liquor to the
fermented juice, which was customarily pressed
from the grapes, into the cup of Pharaoh? It
is not our purpose, to say more of the miseries of
man.—Deeply indeed is it to be deplored, that the
eternal armory of the Lord should be misused for
enmities, by those, who seem not to be engaged on
the side of righteousness and truth. We have
seen an eloquent metropolitan divine, most com-
petitively set for the defense of the gospel, quoting
scripture in defense of the temperate use of wine;
and, with our own ears, we have heard a notorious
munkar exclaming, as he reeled in front of a grog
cup, *Strong drink for those that are ready to perish!*

THEOPHILUS.

NO. XIV.

Before we proceed to the consideration of our
fourth and last position, let us briefly allude to one
other objection, which has been made to the remo-
val of fermented wine from the communion of our
church. It has been said, that it will create a dis-
agreement among the churches; different churches
will employ different elements. To this we answer
that such disagreement will be harmless in its opera-
tion and effects, inasmuch as no one in particular,
several innocent beverages, is essential to a just
performance of the rite. We answer again, that such
a very disagreement is no subject matter for pro-
tection, for it already exists. We are not, as
weeles, in subjection to synods and councils,
whose decrees are of universal obligation: one
church employs one thing as a liquid element, and
other church another thing; and there is no power
by which they can be compelled to depart from
their respective usages, in this particular. While
one humble society, in a remote corner of the
country, conscientiously believing itself bound, by
the "very conditions of the ordinance," partakes of
the vile composition, procured of the village gro-
cer, who receives it from the manufacturer in New
York; another society employs an alcoholic wine,
which *ex abundanti cautela*, is prepared by the de-
nial of the parish. We have a personal knowledge
of such cases.—Here is a body of communicants,
who are sipping sweetened whiskey, and other vil-
lous materials under the name of Malaga wine; and
there, thanks to the connoisseurship of its lay
and clerical members, another body of Christians
artakes of nothing but genuine Madeira. While
thousands rely upon their ministers, who rely upon
their sextons, who rely upon wine dealers, who re-
ly upon the wine brewers, who furnish such com-
modities as they please; others are opposed to the
intoxication of every liquor which is not duly "cer-
tified" to contain no distilled alcohol, but which may
contain a larger amount of fermented alcohol than
any other unenforced wine. The disagreement of
the churches, therefore, exists already.

We proceed to our fourth position:—The unfer-
mented juice of the grape may be had in sufficient
quantity for communion use, at all seasons of the
year, and in all parts of the world.—The quantity
required for this occasion may be rightly measured,
by the standard of Matthew Henry.—*It is food for
soul only, and there is a very little of that, which
for the body, as much as will serve for a sign, is
enough.* If this rule were followed, the officiating
cleric would seldom be required to replenish the
chalice.

The only substitute for fermented wine, of which
we are now to speak, is the unfermented juice of
the grape; and we shall endeavor to show, that it
is not only in sufficient quantity for the com-
munion, but in any quantity, at all seasons of the
year, and in every part of the habitable globe.—
we are desirous of avoiding Scylla and Charyb-
dis, we shall avoid all employment of the words
in and *trish*, which are likely to become the
catch-words of partizans.—The unfermented juice
of the grape is commonly called *mast*. In the *Ta-
gographie de Tous Les Vignobles*, by A. Julien, it is
described, *C'est le jus recentement exprime du
vin, et qui n'a pas encore fermenté.* This must
be well known in ancient times, and was prepared
and preserved for the space of a year. This fact is
attested by the elder Pliny: *Nat. Hist. Lib. xiv. sec.*

The curious reader may find a full account of
various kinds, and of the processes of prepara-
tion, in Columella, *Lib. xiv. cap. 20*, and in Heude-
r's valuable work on wines, page 40. Pliny ob-
serves, *decoquatur ad saporem*, it is boiled down to
a sirup; and we have been informed by profes-
sional gentlemen, in whose skill and judgment we
have perfect confidence, and who have bestowed
little thought upon this subject, that *mast*, boiled
down to one-fourth, may be kept free from fer-
mentation for a year or more. This syrup may be
mixed with water as occasion may require, for
communion use; and it may be had, either by home
manufacture or by importation, in every part of the
world, and at every season of the year. No person
object to the dilution of this "fruit of the vine"
with water; for we have proved, to the apprehen-
sion, as we trust, of all reasonable minds, that the
fruit of the vine, at the institution of the eucharist,
long after, at the communion, was mingled
with water. Here then we have an innocent bever-
age, "the fruit of the vine."

In many parts of the earth, the fresh juice of the
vine may be had, during a great part of the year;
where this cannot be obtained, an abundant
supply may be found in a simple preparation of
dried raisins, which several churches have ac-
cepted. The raisins are chopped into small
pieces and soaked in water; the liquor is strained
and poured into the cup. If this is not so agreeable
to some persons as *fermented wine*, they are not re-
quired to drink much of it; "as much as will serve
a sign, is enough." Here we have "the fruit of
the vine," but it is mixed with water; and have we
showed, upon the very best testimony, that "the
fruit of the vine" was mixed with water by the en-
tirely, because they believed it was so mixed
by Christ himself, at the very institution
of the eucharist? Such appears to us an unex-
plainable element. It is the unfermented extract or
juice of the grape; it is "the fruit of the vine;" it is
simple in its nature, and less expensive, to tax
cupboard of man for the sustenance of some
particular institution in its stead; as it must be prepared
bread, from time to time, and probably by some
member of the church, the communion will no longer
embarrassed and embittered by a doubt if the
contents of the cup be in reality the fruit of the
vine; it may be had in every part of the habitable
globe, from the equator to the poles.

We have endeavored to show, that our Lord never
commanded the use of fermented wine at the euc-
harist; that, even if used there, it is not essential to
effect performance of that holy rite;—that fer-
mented wine, as it is an alcoholic liquor, is offensive
to the Lord's table, in the present condition of pub-
lic sentiment;—and that the unfermented juice of
grape, the fruit of the vine, may be had in suffi-
cient quantity for communion use, at all seasons of
the year, and in every part of the world,—if these
are so, in the language of another, "what wait
for?"—We do not complete the passage, and
"here is water," for we believe it to be wholly
necessary to resort to such a substitute, or to any
substitute whatever. We call for that which our
Lord commanded to be used—the fruit of the vine;
we invite our fellow Christians, of every denomina-
tion, to abandon every substitute, in the shape of
alcoholic liquor, which has been so unnecessarily
and unwise employed by the churches, for
communion.

THEOPHILUS.

ZION'S HERALD.



PUBLISHED BY THE BOSTON WESLEYAN ASSOCIATION, UNDER THE PATRONAGE OF THE NEW ENGLAND CONFERENCE OF THE METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH.

VOL. VI. NO. 46.

BOSTON, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1835.

Whole No. 320.

ZION'S HERALD.
Office No. 19 Washington St.

**BENJ. KINGSBURY, JR., EDITOR,
ASSISTED BY AN ASSOCIATION OF GENTLEMEN.**

DAVID H. ELK, PRINTER.

FOR ZION'S HERALD.

To John Henry Hopkins, D.D., Bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church, in the Diocese of Vermont:

LETTER IV.

RIGHT REVEREND SIR.—"The Temperance Society is not based on *religious*, but on *worldly motives*." Such is your first position; and we are altogether mistaken, if your Reverence might not have been as profitably occupied, in gathering figs from thistles, as in attempting to wrest such an inference as this, from the premises, which you have exhibited before us. To the argument, by which you justify this assertion, you solicit "our best attention, because it is fundamental to the whole." We have complied with this reasonable request; and should we be enabled, by the blessing of Heaven upon our poor labors, to demonstrate that your very foundation is not better than stubble, your Reverence, we trust, will not hesitate to abandon the superstructure in that constitution. The preamble commences thus: "Whereas the improper use of *intoxicating liquors* has been found by experience to be the source of evils of incalculable magnitude, both as to the temporal and eternal interests of individuals, families, and communities; and whereas the prevalence of this vice has such a fatal efficacy in hindering the success of all the common means, which God has appointed for the moral and religious improvement of men;" &c. Now indeed, Right Reverend Sir, there is very little in all this, to indicate that the Temperance Society is based on *worldly* and not on *religious motives*. And, whatever may have been the limited character of the pledge, in the commencement of its operations, the comprehensive term, "intoxicating liquors," must satisfy your mind, that the society agrees with your Reverence, in the opinion, that it makes not the slightest difference "whether ardent spirit or wine, or any other strong drink be the instrument of intoxication."

Among the duties of the Corresponding Secretary of the American Temperance Society, he is directed "to make it a serious object to introduce into the publications of the day, essays and addresses on the subject of intoxicating drinks." He is also directed "to make affectionate and earnest addresses to Christian Churches, &c. &c., and to set clearly before them the effect of spirituous liquors on health, on reputation, and on all the temporal and eternal interests of men, and to urge them by the most weighty arguments, drawn from the present and the future world," &c. &c. This saves your argument here is brief, and commences thus:

"Temperance is a virtue, intemperance is a vice. The particular species of intemperance against which the Temperance Society is directed, namely drunkenness, frequently mentioned in the Bible. Of course it is not a new sin, but an old one—repeatedly described and expressly forbidden by the Deity, both in the Old and in the New Testament. Nor does it make the slightest difference in the question, whether ardent spirit, or wine, or any other strong drink be the instrument of intoxication; because when the Almighty forbids the sin, he forbids it by one instrument as much as by another."

Thus far we perfectly agree; nay, more, we would give additional force to the concluding sentence, and employ it as a sound and sensible argument, against the use of all intoxicating articles, liquid and solid. You proceed thus:

"But the principle on which we are commanded to abstain from sin, is the authority of the divine law. The paramount reason why certain things are avoided by us as sinful, is because God has forbidden them. And hence, Christians have no difficulty in answering the question: What is sin? in the words of St. Paul, where he saith, 'Sin is the transgression of the law.' The same inference is held forth by the Almighty in our cause for virtue, the divine will. 'This with the Lord,' is the great argument for the practice of all good, and for the abandonment of all evil; and so far does this principle extend, that St. Paul saith, 'Whether ye eat or drink, or whatever ye do, all to the glory of God,' that is, in reference to his will. Of course, faith must be the fountain of all virtue, in the eyes of the Christian. 'Without faith,' saith the same Apostle, 'it is impossible to please God,' because the controlling maxim of his government must be submission to his will, and without that submission, we cannot hope for his approbation."

We are not disposed to differ from you here. We subscribe, of course, to the declaration, that "the *paramount reason, why certain things are avoided by us as sinful, is because God has forbidden them*." Such surely is the *paramount reason*, but it is not the only reason, for there are others, perfectly consistent with the plainest dictates of common sense, and which are pointed out in the word of God himself. If you will cast your eye at the Fourth Annual Report of the British and Foreign Temperance Society, page 137, you will find the following observations in the speech of the Hon. and Rev. W. B. Noel: "If we could get every man to hear the gospel; could we bring before our listening fellow men the news of a Saviour's love; could we but awaken the attention of the inconsiderate, and fix the thoughts of the thoughtless, those which now operate: but till that season arrives, we must use the most powerful means we can: we must appeal to the temporal fears and temporal hopes, till we can get them to listen to those, which appeal to higher feelings. Does this seem to require sanction? It seems to be so obviously the dictate of our common understanding, that I am half ashamed of applying scriptural sanction to justify it. But if there are those, who ask for scriptural sanction for using these means and motives, for appealing to the selfish fears and hopes of men with respect to duty, I turn to the book of God, and I find abundant proof, that such appeals are sanctioned by infinite wisdom. Why were the Israelites of old urged not to turn to the right hand or the left from the commandment of Jehovah their legislator? The word of God declares 'Ye shall walk in all the ways, which the Lord your God hath commanded you, that ye may live, and that it may be well with you, and that ye may prolong your days in the land which ye shall possess.' We regret, that we can find no space for a longer extract from this admirable speech. God knows whereof we are made, he remembeth that we are but dust; and the very commandments of the decalogue are connected with the maintenance of the Sabbath, without any pecuniary consideration, and whether a member of the church or not. Until the General Convention, held in Philadelphia, during the summer of 1835, such was not the fact. Until this new organization, money was the only thing required, to constitute membership. No applicant was interrogated, in relation to his faith, or whether he was a Christian or a Jew. Learned and pious, and eloquent men, from their pulpits have exhorted those who cared nothing for religion, on its own account, to contribute to the funds of these societies, on the ground of political expediency, and because the preservation of the social compact is intimately connected with the maintenance of religion and the fear of God. And there is nothing unreasonable in this, since, in the language of another, upon a somewhat similar occasion, "it is lawful to take the devil's water to turn the Lord's mill." With your permission, we will now apply your Reverence's syllogism, without the alteration of a syllable, excepting the substitution of Bible and Missionary Societies, for Temperance Society: *There can be no Christian society, which does not acknowledge Christ.*

But the BIBLE SOCIETY and the MISSIONARY SOCIETY, do not acknowledge Christ, because their conditions of membership are made to suit the unbeliever, and therefore they are not Christian Societies.

By your attempt to show, that the Temperance Society does not acknowledge Christ, because its conditions of membership are made to suit the unbeliever, we are forcibly reminded of certain scruples of the Pharisees, which they would have been very likely to have presented, in the form of a syllogism, if there had been among them such an accomplished logician as your Reverence: *The true Messiah will not sit down at meat with publicans and sinners. But Jesus of Nazareth sitteth down at meat with publicans and sinners: therefore Jesus of Nazareth is not the true Messiah.*

The Temperance Society, as such, adopts nothing of all this, but simply demands a written pledge of abstention from ardent spirit, as the single condition of membership.—It is not easy to compress a greater amount of matter, utterly false and groundless, into so small a compass. The Temperance Society, as such, recognizes and adopts, without the slightest qualification, every thing contained in these two first passages, which we have quoted from your lecture. It is no fault of ours, if you have taken your standard and example, from some individual society, whose constitution may have omitted to set forth the great leading principles and motives of the TEMPERANCE SOCIETY of our country. Now sir, you ought to have read, during your "long and anxious examination," the constitution of the American Temper-

ance Society. You would there have found, that the eternal and temporal welfare of mankind are the great objects of the reformation. Had you taken the trouble to look into the matter, as you should have done, before you presumed to write upon a subject, of which you are singularly ignorant, you would not have limited the scope of the Society's labors to the abandonment of ardent spirit alone. Those wise and pious Christians, who framed the constitution of the American Temperance Society, clearly foresaw, that a pledge of abstinence from ardent spirit alone, could not ultimately suffice for the occasions of the world; and you will find a more comprehensive expression in that constitution.

If the portion of your performance, which we have so far examined, he, as you have admitted, "fundamental to the whole," the public, we believe, will easily decide, whether your Reverence has built upon a rock, like a wise man, or upon the sand. In connection with your first position, we have some additional remarks to offer, which we shall defer, till we have the honor of addressing you again. Of that, which was "fundamental to the whole," nothing of a solid nature, to our own apprehension, remains. The fanciful superstructure appears to sustain you quite as well, however, as before; and, for the present, we will leave your Reverence in quiet possession of your castle in the air.

A MEMBER OF THE PROT. EPISCOPAL CHURCH.

ERRATA IN LETTER III. TO B.P. HOPKINS.—22d line from top, 1st column, for "your statement," read "since your statement." 29th line from top, same column, for "it cannot be denied," read "it cannot be denied."

FOR ZION'S HERALD.

OUR PROSPECTS IN NEW ENGLAND.

Since my connection with the Methodist Episcopal Church, which is nearly eighteen years, I have no recollection of so long an interval, in which there were no extensive revivals in New England, as has occurred, during the present year. But, of late, we have encouraging news from different parts of our Conference, and, more especially, from the interior of this State. Brookfield, Millbury, Dudley, Oxford, Natick, and other places are visited with refreshing showers. This is the season for protracted meetings; and I believe many of those which have already been held, have resulted in much good. Some, who have formerly advocated these meetings, now begin to doubt their utility. I hope this species of scepticism will not become very prevalent. Instead of indulging such misgivings, it would be far better, that we buckle on the whole armor of God, and prepare ourselves for a general battle. We are a little too speculative. We parley too much with the enemy. But let us be a little more zealous, and a little more practical, and we shall find ourselves altogether better prepared to contend with the common foe.

Another caution, perhaps, is necessary. The "intermeddling" of the southern advocates of slavery with our northern concerns, in attempting to deprive us of some of our dearest rights, and, especially, the right to speak what we think, has produced no small degree of excitement, and has, to some extent, "closed" the "door" against our efforts to save the souls of men. The caution I would give is, that we suffer not this unhappy circumstance to discourage us. This dark cloud will soon pass by. We shall soon be able to have a fair understanding with our Christian brethren at the South. The veil, which covers the vile imposition that has been practised upon them by northern hypocrites, will ere long be removed.

P. CRANDALL.

FOR ZION'S HERALD.

QUARTERLY MEETINGS.

I consider it of great importance to the Church and world, that every stated means of grace should be conducted in such a manner as to secure the object for which it was designed.

In the Methodist Episcopal Church, quarterly meetings are considered of so much importance to the Church, that a minister of age and experience is appointed to take charge of them. They are designed to establish and perpetuate a course of order and harmony in the Church—to stir up a spirit of pietiness to begin in the breasts of believers, to awaken and convert sinners; in short, to give new energy to all our efforts. How obvious it must appear to every one, who takes this subject into consideration, that a careless manner of conducting them will ever render them almost useless. This loose state of things has long been lamented in this section of the country. Various plans of improvement have been suggested, but none of them have appeared to succeed according to the expectation of those who proposed them. Four-days meetings have been resorted to; and I rejoice in their success. But one thing should be remembered. Ministers are called together, perhaps, from a distance, at great expense; and the people in the place should, all the time they can afford from their worldly employments, be in attendance; but when the Presiding Elder arrives, few can be called together to unite with him in counsel, or join in worship. Of course, he passes round, almost ready to conclude that his work is useless.

To remedy this, let our four-days meetings be held in CONNECTION with the quarterly-meetings as far as practicable.

Let each quarterly meeting be appointed to continue two days, whether including the Sabbath or not, commencing the evening previous with a fast prayer meeting.

Let the ministers, in the adjoining circuits and stations, attend, whenever it is convenient. The early exercises, although attended by few, will open the way for many more to be present at a later period, and will afford the Presiding Elder an opportunity of giving counsel.

If we would have spiritual and active men in this church we must provide them something to do more interesting than riding from place to place, and making a few in love-feast, and holding a quarterly conference a few minutes.

It may be objected, that brethren, who have their worldly duties to perform, cannot attend so long. If some cannot, others can, and will; and should there be but few, it will do good.

Let us encourage our brethren to make some sacrifice for the sake of Christ. D. KILBURN.

North Bridgewater, Nov. 2, 1835.

LIFE.

Oh, how many ties there are to bind the soul to earth! When the strongest are cut asunder, and the spirit feels ease from every bond which connects it with mortality, how imperceptibly does it go. The true Messiah did not sit down at meat with publicans and sinners. But Jesus of Nazareth sitteth down at meat with publicans and sinners: therefore Jesus of Nazareth is not the true Messiah.

and draw it back with gentle violence! He who thinks he has but one love, is always mistaken. The heart may have one overwhelming affection, more powerful than all the rest, which, like the main root of the tree, is that which supports it; but if it be cut away, it will find a thousand minute fibres still clinging to the soil of humanity. An absorbing passion may fill up the soul, and, while it lasts, may throw a shade over the various obligations and the infinite multitude of kindnesses and tender associations that bind us to mankind; but when that fades, these are seen to twinkle in the firmament of life, as the stars shine after the sun has gone down. Even the brute, and the lilies of the field, that neither toil nor spin, put in their silent claims; and the heart that would have spurned the world, settles quietly down again upon its bosom.

[From the Christian Advocate and Journal.]
TO THE MINISTERS AND PREACHERS
Of the Methodist Episcopal Church within the New-
England and New-Hampshire Annual Conferences.

DEAR BRETHREN.—Grace to you, and peace, from
God our Father, and the Lord Jesus Christ.

We have marked, with deep solicitude, the painful
excitement which, in some parts of your section of
our charge, has been producing disturbance on the
subject of the immediate abolition of slavery in the
slaveholding States. We are happy, at the same
time, to be able to say, that, having now, between us,
attended all the Northern and Eastern Conferences,
as far as Troy inclusive, we have found no such ex-
citement of a moment, within any of them, except
young and even within ours, we know that a large and
highly responsible portion of yourselves, with
us, incline to think, a majority of our members and
friends, greatly disapprove and deplore the existing
agitations on this question. That a large majority of
our preachers and people, within those of the non-
slaveholding States, generally, to which our recent
visitations have extended, are decidedly opposed to
the modern measures of immediate abolitionists, we
are well assured; and, believing, as we do, that these
measures have already been productive of pernicious
results, and tend to the production of other yet more
disastrous, both in the Church and the social and po-
litical relations of the country, we deem it our duty
to address you a pastoral letter on the subject.

Enjoying as we do, in common with all our fellow-
citizens, the protection of the Constitution of the
United States, and the inestimable blessings resulting
from the general union of the States, under its happy
auspices, we are not bound, in conscience and honor,
while we accept the benefit, on one hand, to main-
tain on the other, in good faith, that fundamental
principle of the original compact of union, by which
each State reserves to itself, and has guaranteed to it
by all the rest, the exclusive control of its internal
and domestic affairs; and for which, consequently,
the citizens of the other States are no more responsi-
ble, than for the domestic regulations under any for-
eign government? Can we indeed, taking human
nature and the established laws of intercourse be-
tween states and nations as they are, reasonably sup-
pose that the peace of the country, or even of the
world, can be preserved on any other principle?

That a deep political game is involved in the pre-
sent agitation of this question, there are evidences too
strong to be resisted. Will you take it amiss, then, if
we warn you again, being drawn into that vortex,
or suffering yourselves to be made the instruments
of drawing others in?

The question of slavery, itself, is not our purpose
here to discuss; nor is there any occasion for it.
The sentiment of our Church, on this subject, is well
known. Our object is rather to confine ourselves to
the practical considerations which press upon us in
the present crisis; and which, we presume, cannot
fail to arrest the attention of the humane, the pious,
and the reflecting, of all parties.

"Speak not evil one of another, brethren," is a
sacred precept as binding on us, surely, as any other.

Now, are the strong denunciations which we have

reason to fear indulged in, even by some minis-
ters, against portions of their brethren who reside
where the laws do not admit of emancipation without
removal, compatible either with this precept, or with
that common Discipline by which we are united and
bound as one body, and to which we have solemnly
pledged ourselves to conform? Can we be ignorant,
either, that such a course must inevitably tend greatly
to grieve and embarrass those of our brethren, whose
providential lot is within those States, if not materially
to loosen and alienate their affections? Are those
who so vehemently insist on universal, unconditional,
and immediate abolition, as an imperative and indis-
pensable moral duty, regardless of all consequences,
willing to change places with their southern brethren,
and to preach and carry out, in the south, the prin-
ciples which they maintain in the north? If not, what
is it but the apprehension of *consequences* that deter-
them, and qualifies their convictions of duty? What
brotherly kindness, then,—say, what justice, what
consistency, even, is there in urging upon others,
painfully and involuntarily situated as our southern
brethren are, the performance of that which we
shrink from ourselves? It does not appear to us,
that this was the apostolical spirit, the apostolical
principle, or the apostolical course of action; and we
entreat that it may not be persisted in.

There is one other important practical bearing of
the question, which greatly affects us, and on which
humanity itself demands of you the most serious re-
flection. We allude to the interests of the colored
population themselves, both bond and free. That
many well-meaning persons are totally misled on this
point, we are entirely confident. One of us has trav-
elled through every slaveholding State in the union,
except one; and, the other, through nearly all. We
have conversed, freely and extensively with intelligent
men of all parties; and have narrowly observed the
progress and bearings of the modern agitations on
this subject; and, on a review of the whole, we are
compelled to express our deliberate conviction, that
nothing has ever occurred so seriously tending to ob-
struct and retard, if not absolutely to defeat, the cause
of emancipation itself; to bring upon the slaves in-
creased rigor of treatment and privation of privileges;
to overwhelm the multitudes of free colored people,
in the slaveholding States, with persecution and ban-
ishment; to involve the friends of gradual emancipa-
tion within those States in injuries and dangerous
suspicions; and, above all, to embitter all our ef-
forts, as well by the regular ministry as by missionary
means, to gain access to, and to promote the salvation
of both the slaveholders and their slaves.

We know that the example of Great Britain, in
regard to the slaves of the West Indies, is often re-
ferred to. But, conceding to that great nation all the
credit it deserves, are you not aware that the circum-
stances of the two countries, in relation to this ques-
tion, are greatly, if not wholly, dissimilar? There,
the movement originated, and was consummated,
among those who had constitutional jurisdiction in
the matter, and who knew that the liberated popula-
tion would be separated from them by a wide ocean.
The claim of property, too, on the part of the mas-
ters, was respected, and liberally compensated—the
British nation being one consolidated empire, whose
resources were employed, both in purchasing the
slaves, in effect, and in compelling the mass of them
still to submit to a state of political degradation; as is
indeed the case with a large portion of its subjects, of
all colors, throughout the globe. Whether all this be
right or wrong, best or best, as Great Britain is
situated, it is not our object here to inquire. What
we mean to say, is, simply, that the circumstances of
this country, and the measures urged by the immedi-
ate abolitionists, here, are not analogous to those
which she should live for the several succeeding ones
of her birth would last, she opened the closer, but what
was her surprise when *not a drop cake could be found*?
In vain every place was searched, nor could the family
make any discovery. Robbers had been there, but
yet not a door was found unbolted, or a window
missed!

Fortunately, however, a brown loaf was found in
the oven—and the smoking cone rose like the peak
of Teneriffe from the centre of the table when the
family gathered around it. It was however, dry, of
the robbing idea rendered quite as impalatable to most
of those who surrounded it as the peak itself; while the
good man who had been rather silent, never seemed
to eat with a better relish.

Well, wife—I have not made a better meal these
three months—I hardly knew before the goodness of
a brown loaf—it seems to me the travellers on whom I
bestowed your *drop cakes* at midnight, have leavened
this loaf with a blessing.

The mystery of the lost bread was now explained,
and the loaf was at once found by all around the board

to possess a peculiar richness.

The discovery thus made has doubtless been prac-
ticed to a greater or less extent by the discoverer, ever
since. As he has not sought a patent for his dis-

covery, no one need fear encroaching upon his right,
who is desirous of knowing the flavor of the leaves
of benevolence; having the free privilege of making a
trial forthwith. This leaves works admirably in the
winter months.—*Portsmouth Journal.*

ZION'S HERALD.

BOSTON, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 1835.

CONVICTION OF ABNER KNEELAND.

This precious one is again convicted of blasphemy. How any honest juror could ever have failed to do it, with the present course of immediate abolitionists is equally foreign from the practical examples furnished us by those high and sacred authorities, and in circumstances less difficult than ours. For whilst, within the Roman empire, slaves were both more numerous, and their legal condition worse than the legalized condition of the same class in any portion of our own country, there existed, at the same time, no such barrier, (in case of liberation,) to their enjoyment of the entire rights of citizenship, or even to amalgamation, as, in our circumstances, is utterly insuperable. The difficulty, among us, is increased, too, by the fact that the colonization, even with their own consent, of such as may be emancipated in this country, is equally opposed by immediate abolitionists. It is in such a state of things, in relation to this most perplexing of all our political or moral questions, that we have devolved upon us the embarrassing duty of administering a Discipline intended to be conformed to the principles of the Gospel, as illustrated by the practical course of our Lord, and the apostolic administration of the primitive Church. And as, on one hand, we are not disposed to relax its provisions, or permit them to be trampled on in administration, so neither, on the other, whilst it remains as it is, can we silently witness the arbitrary denunciations of our part of our church by brethren of another part, who, except when assembled in General Conference, and in that collective capacity, have no jurisdiction over them.

We entreat, therefore, that none of you will take part in such measures, or in any others calculated to inflame the public mind with angry passions, and to stir up civil or ecclesiastical strife and dissension, in violation of our solemn vows. And if any persist in so doing, whether from the pulpit or otherwise, we earnestly recommend to our members and friends everywhere, by all lawful and Christian means, to disown them in such a course. The pained elders, especially, we earnestly exhort to disown such practices, both by their counsel and example. And if any, of whatever class, go beyond their own bounds, or leave their proper appointments, whether under the pretext of agencies or otherwise, to agitate other societies or communities on this subject, we advise the preachers, the trustees, and the official and other members to manifest their disapprobation, and to refuse the use of their pulpits and houses for such purposes. Let us leave off contention before it be meddled with; and maintain and set forward, as much as lie in us, quietness, peace, and love, among all Christian people, and especially among those committed to our charge.

The following is the state of his affairs, as far as Courts are concerned. Twenty-four grand jurors presented him as guilty to the Municipal Court. Twelve jurors in that Court found him guilty. Eleven jurors in the Superior Court, on appeal, found him guilty. Eleven jurors, in a new trial, found him guilty,—the man who fiddled for infidels, and pocketed their money in return, the discreditable. Twelve jurors, on the last trial, found him guilty. The whole number of jurors, seventy, of whom two were against his conviction.

We think Mr. Kneeland had better yield. As long as he chooses to hang himself upon the "tenter hooks," the public cannot pity him. Were we permitted to tender our advice, we should suggest that he has notorious enough for one man, and had better retire upon the interest of it.

We believe the final destiny of man is to yield to the law of nature, to which all other animals must: and to talk about man's soul after he is dead, is the same as to talk of a tree, as a tree, after it has been cut down, and perhaps either burnt or rotten, so as to have returned to the elements from whence it came.

Thus says Mr. Kneeland in his last Investigator. Now, we would like to ask one question. What induce-
ment is there, in such a sentiment, for a *scoundrel* to re-
form? He will be told, perhaps, that "virtue its own
reward." With a sneer, he will fling back the axiom,
and reply,—"I think rascality suits my temperament, bet-
ter than virtue, and, as there is no punishment *after* death,
and none in this, unless I am detected, I'll go on."

What can you do? Why, fold your arms, like a phi-
losopher, and let him. Your stock of morals, for his
benefit, is expended.

WESTERN BANNER.—Number 2 has just arrived.
Number 1, we never received—that's the reason, Brother
Wiggins, "why we didn't send the Herald." Are you
to our voice, or to be guided by our counsels or ad-
monitions, in matters most deeply affecting the peace
of the Church and the country, we do it solemnly
and earnestly, to you, individually and collectively, in
common with all other portions of our wide and weighty
charge, and such as we may fully actuate our
hearts as your affectionate and faithful pastors.

In conclusion, permit us, beloved brethren, to
cherish a confidence in the Lord touching you, that
you both do and will do the things which we entreat
you.

METHODIST QUARTERLY FOR OCTOBER.—One of
the best numbers published during the year. It is in-
valuable. We are informed that the subscription to this im-
portant periodical of our Church is comparatively small.
Why is it? It ought to be on the shelf of every Method-
ist.

Lansingburg, N. Y., Sept. 10, 1835.

*As it is not putting on a gown that makes a scholar,
but the inward habits of the mind; so it is not putting
on an outward cloak of profession that makes a Chris-
tian, but the inward graces of the heart.*

So says somebody,—who, we know not. The remark
is most certainly worth considering. It is getting to be
too much the *fad* at the present day to make a *profes-
sion*. Sometimes this is nearly all that is regarded by
ministers. Ask the question, "How has the cause pro-
gressed among you?"

(c) We see nothing very alarming, here. Pray, are
not Christ's words as *intelligible* to us, (i. e. the Chris-
tian Church of the present day,) as they were to
the Corinthians for their wickedness, know no more
of the matter than we do? (d) Had not Paul said, Peter,
and other apostles who *drank with Christ*, and knew
what they drank? (e) Did not such an apostle, and those
whom he instructed upon this subject, know much more
than we do? (f) Surely we misunderstand Mr. Sargent, (g)
as to the passage quoted, he has rendered his cause
weaker by error.

We should give the article to our readers, but it would
occupy more than one half of our paper; and, besides, it
will probably appear in *Tract* form.—*Maine Wesleyan
Journal.*

(a) If our worthy Brother Cox had said, "fourteen
very well written articles," he would have had it right.

And here we would remark, that, in order to understand
Mr. Sargent's views upon this subject, it is necessary to
read the *whole*. A huge work, to-be-sure, especially for
an Editor—but necessary for all that.

(b) He admits, that in the instance in which the earlier
Christians got drunk "at the table of the Lord," (what
an association of ideas!) *fermented wine* was used.

That is a matter of course, as *unfermented* cannot intox-
icate.

But, this does not prove that Christ instituted the
fermented at the *eucharist*, or that he meant this when
he spoke of "the fruit of the vine." Mr. Sargent under-
stands the expression, literally—or thinks he has a
right to do so.

(c) We see nothing very alarming, here. Pray, are
not Christ's words as *intelligible* to us, (i. e. the Chris-
tian Church of the present day,) as they were to
the Corinthians for their wickedness, know no more
of the matter than we do? (d) Had not Paul said, Peter,
and other apostles who *drank with Christ*, and knew
what they drank? (e) Did not such an apostle, and those
whom he instructed upon this subject, know much more
than we do? (f) Surely we misunderstand Mr. Sargent, (g)
as to the passage quoted, he has rendered his cause
weaker by error.

We should give the article to our readers, but it would
occupy more than one half of our paper; and, besides, it
will probably appear in *Tract* form.—*Maine Wesleyan
Journal.*

(a) We were unacquainted with the fact when we
wrote the paragraph alluded to, that S. Knowles's "in-
mate friends" have pronounced false. We have
since heard a "rumor" of that kind. But we do
not correct its *doctrines*; for, in all our numerous exchanges,
we have not seen such denial. Perhaps "A Friend of
Justice" will send it to us.

(b) It is our design to injure no man. But when a man
throws himself upon the public as a teacher, in "schools
of morality," we think it our duty, occasionally, to run a
parallel to his precept and practice. If he is a profligate,
neither wealthy, nor friends, nor influence, nor
learning, should cloak him. He should be hooted from
society.

Of actors on the stage, we confess we are suspicious.
The infamous lives of Cooke and Keen are not yet blotted
from our memory. Besides, the men who can thus
support an institution which encourages prostitution, drunk-
enness, theft, as they know the *Theatre* does, cannot be
the best saints in the universe.

More hereafter. Meanwhile we would suggest to our
correspondent the inquiry, whether his insinuation
of "mere malice" is to be attributed to his love of
"Justice," or his "Methodism?"

The following was passed by a New Hampshire Bap-
tist Convention of ministers:—

Whereas the *use* of substitute water for wine,
in the *Supper* of the Lord's Supper, has been brought
before the community, and advocated by individual
and *ecclesiastical* friends;—Therefore—

Resolved, That this Convention look upon the efforts
made to effect such a substitution with unqualified dis-
probation, and believe that every well-instructed Christian
will utterly disapprove of the course, as being a per-
version of the laws of Christ.

Alter this so, as to read in plain English, and then will
the Association adopt it?

Thus, for example:—

Whereas the *proposition* to substitute water for an
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE, misnamed wine, in the sacra-
ment, &c. &c.

If Christ did substitute wine, as the only proper em-
bodiment of his death, then that august occasion is not com-
memorated by the Church. We have not partaken of
wine—you have not.

And this is all, Mr. S. meant, if we understand him.

(d) Never denied by Mr. S.

(e) Certainly.

(f) True, again.

(g) Right.

A TEMPERANCE LECTURER.—A gentleman much
engaged in the good cause, and who had interested his
own family peculiarly, heard one day a tremendous up-
set in his kitchen. He went down, and saw his cat and
kitten tied to a chair, and his little son engaged, with great
fervor, in delivering an address upon temperance, assur-
ing them that "if they yielded to their appetites, ruin would
be the consequence." The cat and kitten, however, not
liking this restraint—wholesome as it might be—upon
their wayward inclinations, set up the clamor which the
gentleman had heard. They were afraid Charles—
that wasn't his name, though—wanted "to take away their
liberties"—"to unite church and state"—and they were
too patriotic to encourage it. They wouldn't think for
go with the Temperance Society—that they wouldn't.

Now this was right—we don't mean the improper con-
duct of the cat and kitten—but the education of this little
boy in the cause of temperance. Let children drink it
with their mother's milk, and the next generation will be
a sober one.

FOR ZION'S HERALD.

HAVERHILL, N. H., Nov. 11, 1835.

DEAR BROTHER—Your paper is much liked this way.
I think I shall get you, yet, more new subscribers. I
hope all who are in favor of *free discussion*, *free govern-
ment*, and *free religion*, will rally round "Zion's Her-
ald," and amply support and circulate it, and the principle
which it advocates.

There were some difficulties when I came here, among
our people, which have been pleasantly adjusted. An
increasing attention to religion appeared, and, at our
religious meetings, which commenced two weeks ago,
and continued seven days, and the evenings of last week
also, there were about thirty who found salvation, and

POETRY.

CHRISTIAN DEVOTEDNESS.

BY BERNARD BARTON.

"No man that warreth, entangleth himself with the affairs of this life, that he may please Him who has chosen him to be a soldier."—2 Tim. ii. 4.

He who would win a warrior's fame,
Must shun with ever-watchful aim,
Entangling things of life;
His couch the earth; heaven's arching dome
His airy tent; his only home
The field of mortal strife.

Unwearied by the battle's toil,
Uncumbered by the battle's spoil,
No dangers must affright,
Nor rest seduce to slothful ease,
Intent alone his Chief to please,
Who called him forth to fight.

Soldier of Christ, if thou wouldst be
Worthy that epithet, stand free
From time's encumbering things;
Be earth's enthrallments feared, abhorred,
Knowing thy leader is the Lord,
Thy chief the King of kings.

Still use, as not abusing, all
Which fetters worldlings by its thrall;
With fame, with power, with self,
With joy or grief, with hope or fear,
Whose origin and end are here,
Entangle not thyself.

These close enough will round thee clinging,
Without thy tightening every string
Which binds them to the heart;
Despise them not! this thankless were;
But while partaking them, prepare
From each and all to part.

[From the Philadelphian.]

THE MEN OF PLYMOUTH.
To my Brother at Falmouth, Mass., on receiving from
him a piece of the Plymouth Rock.

For this, from granite cliffs that hem
The Old Bay State, my brother, thanks;
I prize it more than curious gem;
Or cluster from the coral banks;
It minds me of the love I knew
In boyish days, and speaks of you.

This fragment from New England's shore,
Of noble spirit breathes me;
I see them now!—those men of yore—
The elder sons of liberty!
They tread this soil as once they trod,—
Exiles for chainless mind and God.

These are the iron men that broke
Ground where the Indians' war-fire curled;
These spurned the princely, priestly yoke—
These are the fathers of a world.
O men of God's own image, say,—
Can glorious men thus pass away?

No, never!—Send expansive sight
From Labrador to Carib's sea,—
That vision, so sublime and bright,
Of regions teeming with the free,
Shows but the influence of the men
Who sought the sands of Plymouth then.

A thousand spires that look above
A thousand towns where plenty reigns,—
People knit by virtuous love,
Who choose those streams and till those plains,—
We point to these, and proudly cry,
Can minds that wrought such doing, die?

No, never!—Each traditional spot
Tells where they wept, or sank to rest;
Yet were such silent, or forgot
The place their pilgrim footstep pressed.—

Their names should live, nor Time would mock
The record of the Plymouth Rock. W. B. T.

Miscellaneous.

FOR ZION'S HERALD.
THE SAINTS.

NO. XIII.

MEANS OF PROMOTING ITS OBSERVANCE.

MR. EDITOR.—Having investigated the evil, against which these numbers have been directed, and some of its inevitable results on society, it only remains to suggest means by which it may be remedied, and solicit their universal adoption. To this point, much time and paper might be profitably devoted; but, as I have already extended these remarks far beyond my original design, and, perhaps, exhausted the patience of your readers, I shall conclude with this number, in the following plain suggestions:—

1. The means which seems first, both in point of order and importance, is *example*. Without this, but little can be done. While professing Christians and Christian ministers continue in the practice of breaking the Sabbath, in some, or all of the before-mentioned ways, the evil will strengthen and accumulate, whatever exertion may be made to prevent it, notwithstanding. This lies at the foundation of all successful effort. The reform must begin with Christians. They are the light of the world. Theirs to show us how to live, not more by abstract theory, than living example. On them every eye is fixed. One baptized Sabbath-breaker, furnishes an apology for a whole neighborhood. He is made the criterion of conduct, and quoted, as ample authority, for the most flagrant excess. Let all Christians, therefore, who have the least regard for the honor of God, the interests of his cause, or the salvation of souls, set an example of keeping holy the Sabbath. The retrograde of morality, and the appalling anarchy and confusion of the times, urge this duty upon us with an awful eloquence. They speak a language which, however unintelligible to the graceless philosopher, to the Christian is as distinct as the voice of God. As I have said before, I say again, the Sabbath is the great conservator of morals. Abandon this, and farewell to public worship, to the Bible, to religion, to hope and to God! All that is illusive in theory, painful in experience, abominable in practice, degrading in feeling, oppressive in government, or disgraceful in ignorance, would come upon us, like a desolating flood, and cover us with unparalleled infamy. That Christians are prepared for such a catastrophe, I do not believe. Every man, then, to his post. Agreeable or disagreeable, profitable or unprofitable, convenient or inconvenient, keep the Sabbath. Have you been accustomed to ride from parish to parish, on this day, do it no more, without first kneeling before God, with your Bible in your hands, and asking him if it be right. There is too much Sabbath-breaking in this way. How many ministers are there, who spend the Saturday with their families, or in their studies, and Sunday morning rise and shave, clean their boots, and gravely ride several miles to

preach, and, after preaching, ride home again, and all this to save a little time on Saturday and Monday. They may think that this is not breaking the Sabbath, because they are ministers and are going to preach, (perhaps against the sin of Sabbath-breaking) but if it be not, this is a term without meaning. O that ministers would ponder this subject before God. It lies near the foundation of the grand superstructure they are aiming to build. Without it, scarce a stone has been laid, and with its abolition the whole work will cease.

Have you occasionally journeyed, or visited on the Sabbath, or openly broken the day in some other way, do it no more, without asking the special blessing of God. Go into your closet, before commencing the exercises of the day, and beseech God to deliver you from all evil, and attend you by his Spirit, while you most *piously* violate his Sabbath, abuse his goodness, contemn his authority, and set the world an example of impudent effrontery. But if conscience disallows of imploring the divine blessing upon your work, for God's sake, for religion's sake, for a perishing world's sake, abandon it, abandon it entirely, and forever. If conscience condemns you, then for a laugh, a cry, or a jest, *ad libitum*!

The party ultimately agreed to go and hear Dr. Chalmers preach. On arriving at his church, they found it crowded within, and a great multitude standing without. Our students, however, elbowed on, and just got within the door, when they heard Dr. Chalmers announce this text, with peculiar emphasis—“*I am not mad, most noble Festus!*” This passage, so unexpected, and rendered so striking to their minds by their former conversations, arrested their attention.

They heard the conduct of Jesus Christ and his most zealous apostle powerfully delineated; the opposition, contempt and sneers of the ungodly and profane, which they had to suffer, when laboring and striving to promote the holy cause of God, and the salvation of the souls of perishing sinners. The appeals which were afterwards made by the preacher to the consciences of his hearers, were irresistible. Amidst the weeping concourse, the hearts of our students were completely melted down. Their conduct appeared to them *black and hell-deserving*.

Stung with remorse, they withdrew at the close of the public worship—and retired to pray. They hastened again to church in the afternoon, to unite in public worship. They became penitent. They were converted, and became members of the visible church of God, hoping and preparing for a better inheritance in the church triumphant.

The above is no fiction. The circumstances were well known, and commonly reported, when the writer was at Glasgow College a few years ago.

youth, “the sound of the classic Clyde is worth all the preachers of a bushel of Dr. Chalmers! And its beautiful banks have something so romantic, I never go but I immediately wish to write poetry. Come, chums, let us on.”

“But Mr. A.,” said C., “have you ever heard Dr. Chalmers?”

“Never,” was the reply; “but so much is said about him, I believe he is mad. They tell such ridiculous things about him, I would laugh at the time, if I were hearing him, by thinking of their fanaticism! Come, let us go to the green, or to the country, or any where else, provided only it be away from these superstitious groanings!”—This he spoke mimicking the nasal sectarian twang.

“We may find as much amusement in hearing him, nevertheless,” rejoined C., “as in going into the country. Besides, my friend, let us condemn no man unheard. And be it known to you, my comrades, that Dr. Chalmers stands as high as a scholar as he does as a preacher. He is reported to be a profound mathematician; versed in all science, and with really eloquent. Let us hear him ourselves—and then for a laugh, a cry, or a jest, *ad libitum*!”

The party ultimately agreed to go and hear Dr. Chalmers preach. On arriving at his church, they found it crowded within, and a great multitude standing without. Our students, however, elbowed on, and just got within the door, when they heard Dr. Chalmers announce this text, with peculiar emphasis—“*I am not mad, most noble Festus!*” This passage, so unexpected, and rendered so striking to their minds by their former conversations, arrested their attention.

They heard the conduct of Jesus Christ and his most zealous apostle powerfully delineated; the opposition, contempt and sneers of the ungodly and profane, which they had to suffer, when laboring and striving to promote the holy cause of God, and the salvation of the souls of perishing sinners. The appeals which were afterwards made by the preacher to the consciences of his hearers, were irresistible. Amidst the weeping concourse, the hearts of our students were completely melted down. Their conduct appeared to them *black and hell-deserving*.

Stung with remorse, they withdrew at the close of the public worship—and retired to pray. They hastened again to church in the afternoon, to unite in public worship. They became penitent. They were converted, and became members of the visible church of God, hoping and preparing for a better inheritance in the church triumphant.

The above is no fiction. The circumstances were well known, and commonly reported, when the writer was at Glasgow College a few years ago.

A LAY OF THE OLDEN TIME.

The warrior came from the tented field,
In the pride of his young renown;
Hun on the bough his tattered shield,
And flung his helmet down;

His dented sword was cast aside,

And he loo'd his steel-link'd vest,

And gazed awhile with a warrior's pride

On the scars that marked his breast.

“Farewell, my sword!” said the warrior then,

“Thou hast served me well and long,

In the strife of lion-hearted men,

In the fierce and mingled throng;

And where thy blade had flushed on high,

Red blood had flowed like wine,

On the sunny fields of Italy,

And the plains of Palestine.”

Bright Summer came, and the Sun-god's eye

Looked down on a quiet spot,

And silver'd the stream that murmur'd by

A sweet vine-covered cot.

That cot was the home of love and joy—

There the warrior clasped his bride,

And the father gazed on his fair-haired boy,

With all a father's pride.

Still hangs his shield on the olden bough,

And his casque is flung beneath,

And he tastes a purer pleasure now

Than he found on the field of death.

A Prattling child and a happy wife

Bequeath the soldier's cares—

No more he pants for the bloody strife,

His love, his heart is theirs.

THE DEUCE.

“Clebs in search of a wife,” was compelled to take shelter from a shower, in a late pedestrian excursion, under a hospitable roof, in Connecticut. Here his attention was fixed upon one of the fairest daughters of New-England, whose dress and manners were such, as to correspond to her person. “If the soul and mind prove as fair as their habitation,” said he to himself, “why need I seek further?” At this moment the words, “*The Deuce*,” fell from her lips, and scattered his incipient air-castle to the winds. “True,” says he, “L. is not aware that *The Deuce* is synonymous with *The Devil*; but that the word shows, that she is more familiar with low life, and vulgar ideas, than her appearance would indicate; it will be of no use to make any further inquiries in relation to her.”

This incident is introduced for the moral it should convey. How often one ill-advised expression may change the whole future course of a person's life. And how many young men and young women allow themselves in the use of expressions, which, to say nothing of their estimation in the sight of God, can in no case, be of any possible advantage!—but the habitual use of one such expression is not often a solitary failing; and like a rock, raising its head above the water, it seems to say to the curious navigator, “Stand off! beware of the shoals you cannot see.”—*Clebs* *East Greenwich, R. I.* Oct. 30, 1835.

RECENT ANECDOTE.

By the rules of the University of Glasgow, the students must attend in the College Chapel for divine worship on each Sabbath day. The students there, in every institution of the kind, were of all ranks, it regarded respectability and principle. Some had drunk deep into infidelity, and these, viewing religious worship as the *nugae* of the age, and a loathsome, contemptible thing, often found means—after answering to their names at roll call—to escape from the intolerable penance of religious services.

One Sabbath day, Mr. B. and Mr. C. had already eloped, and, getting to the college gate, were contemplating the most pleasant way of killing a Sabbath day, when Mr. A., a nobleman's son, and two others of the same infidel principles, had also escaped, saluted them with—

“How shall we spend the day?—Let us hasten from this spot, or we shall be clapt up again with these psalm-singers, to growl lullaby or whine like Bedlamites, till our heads are turned. Whither shall we direct our course?”

Mr. C. proposed that they should go and hear Dr. Chalmers preach.

“Chalmers! Chalmers!” said Mr. A.; “the crazy man, whom the *mobile vulgar* run after? Why, he is a mad fanatic, run seeking for the little mouthed popularity of weak minds. Poh! go and hear a religious fool or knave, or perhaps both! No, no, let us go to the green, and get a stroll and a laugh at the high-dressed weaver girls who will be there on a Sabbath morning.—Let me tell you, my hearties,” added this

PROFESSOR THOLUCK.

The name of Dr. Tholuck is very familiar to us all as a professor and commentator. It is desirable, that Americans should inspect his character more nearly, as a laborious missionary-preacher. His eloquence is such as to draw crowds, and his discourses derive a great lustre from the circumstances in which they are pronounced, in a famous University, as part of the academic service, and under the frowns of a host of baptized infidels. The following is from the peroration of a sermon from Luke xxii. 39, 43, preached at St. Ulrich's Kirk, and printed last year. The translation is carefully made, but after all, will leave an imperfect impression of his burning eloquence.—*N. Y. Observer.*

TOO LATE.

Sinner! so long as thou standest on *this side* the grave, it is never too late for thy repentance. Such

is the holy comfort which streams from Christ's words on the cross. It is too late! O word of horror, already fallen like God's thunder on many a heart of man. See that father, who rushes out of a house in flames, thinking that all of his beloved ones are around him. He counts—one dear head is yet missing—he flies back—It is too late! is the moaning sound that strikes his ear. The walls fall in, amidst a rushing stream of flame, and he sinks lifeless to the earth.

But who is this that presses through the gloom of the night on a breathless steed? It is a prodigal wanderer, in the way of sin; he would faint here, from the lips of his dying father, the words, “I forgive thee.” Look! he has reached the place—yet a moment, and he has reached the door. It is too late! shrieks the voice of his mother. Those lips are dumb forever! and he falls, swooning in her arms. Behold, you, that victim on the bloody scaffold—and that headsman, who whets the murderous steel. The multitude stand, hushed, and shuddering.

But, lo! who is he that comes in sight, on the distant eminence, making signs of joy? It is the king's courier. He brings a pardon! He comes nearer and nearer. “Pardon, pardon!” resounds, first softly, and then with increasing loudness among the crowd. It is too late! That guilty head has

is the holy comfort which streams from Christ's words on the cross. It is too late! O word of horror, already fallen like God's thunder on many a heart of man. See that father, who rushes out of a house in flames, thinking that all of his beloved ones are around him. He counts—one dear head is yet missing—he flies back—It is too late! is the moaning sound that strikes his ear. The walls fall in, amidst a rushing stream of flame, and he sinks lifeless to the earth.

But who is this that presses through the gloom of the night on a breathless steed? It is a prodigal wanderer, in the way of sin; he would faint here, from the lips of his dying father, the words, “I forgive thee.” Look! he has reached the place—yet a moment, and he has reached the door. It is too late! shrieks the voice of his mother. Those lips are dumb forever! and he falls, swooning in her arms. Behold, you, that victim on the bloody scaffold—and that headsman, who whets the murderous steel. The multitude stand, hushed, and shuddering.

But, lo! who is he that comes in sight, on the distant eminence, making signs of joy? It is the king's courier. He brings a pardon! He comes nearer and nearer. “Pardon, pardon!” resounds, first softly, and then with increasing loudness among the crowd. It is too late! That guilty head has

time General Scott had ever heard the comparison, and he believed the present adage of “poor pay, poor preach,” had its origin in the manner here described.

A. L. HASKELL & CO.

WHOLESALE and RETAIL dealers in Furniture, Fixtures, Sponges, &c. have an establishment in Boston, and will continue to keep constantly for sale, in any quantity that may be wanted, the following articles, which will be sold on such terms as can but please the purchaser, viz.—Secretaries, Dressing Cases, Bureaus, Green, Card, Pembroke, and common Tables, Sofas, Side Tables, and Chairs, Bedsteads, Magazine and stained-wood Cradles, Fauteuils, and common Chairs, Cabinet Chairs, Wash Stands and Toilet Tables, Counting Room and Portable Desks, Looking Glasses, Brass Fire Sets, Brass Time Pieces, Wooden Clocks, Bellows and Brushes.

MANUFACTURES—Double bordered best Spanish hair, double bordered Russian hair, single bordered Russian hair—different qualities and prices.